Confidentiality in International Arbitration: Breaches and Remedies

Noradèle Radjai

ASA below 40

Geneva, 10 October 2008



LALIVE

Whether there has been a breach and, if so, what remedies are available depends upon law applicable to source of confidentiality obligation

Which law applies?

- Law of the contract from which duty of confidentiality arises
- Procedural law governing issues of evidence
- Liability in tort governed by applicable law

Outline

- Breach or No Breach?
- Remedies

Who is in breach?

- Party (most common scenario and focus of this presentation)
- Arbitral tribunal
- Arbitral institution
- Third party e.g. expert, witness, court reporter

Breach or No Breach? (1)

Publication of existence of dispute, of arbitration and amount of the claim

Breach

Bleustein et al v. Société True North et Société
 FCB International (Commercial Court of Paris),
 22 February 1999
 (but overturned on appeal on procedural grounds)

Breach or No Breach? (2)

Publication of full award by one of the parties

No breach

Bulgarian Foreign Trade Bank Ltd. v. A.I. Trade Finance Inc.

(Swedish Supreme Court), 27 October 2000, Case no. T 1881-99

Breach or No Breach? (3)

Disclosure of award or documents created in the arbitration to a third party in subsequent arbitration or court proceedings

Breach

Ali Shipping Corp. v. Shipyard Trogir [1998] 1 Lloyd's Rep 643 (CA); Insurance Co. v. Lloyd's Syndicate [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep 272 (QB); Dolling-Baker v Merrett [1990] 1 WLR 1205 (English Court of Appeal)

No breach: Disclosure reasonably necessary for arbitrating party to establish or defend a right vis-à-vis a third party

Emmott v. Michael Wilson & Partners Ltd [2008] EWCA Civ 184 (CA);
Hassneh Insurance Co of Israel v. Mew [1993] 2 Lloyd's Rep 243



Breach or No Breach? (4)

Disclosure of award in subsequent arbitration with the same party

No breach: To prohibit disclosure of award would frustrate fundamental purpose of arbitration by preventing enforcement of award

Associated Electric and Gas Insurance
 Services Ltd v. European Reinsurance Co of
 Zurich (Privy Council) [2003] 1 WLR 1041



Breach or No Breach? (5)

Disclosure of documents produced in arbitration to a third party

No breach

- Esso Australia Resources Ltd v. Minister for Energy and Minerals et al (High Court of Australia), 7 April 1995, No. 95/014
- Commonwealth of Australia v. Cockatoo Dockyard Pty Limited (Supreme Court of New South Wales), 24 October 1995, [1995] NSWSC 97
- United States of America v. Panhandle Eastern Corp. et al
 (District Court of Delaware), 7 January 1988, 118 F.R.D. 346

Breach or No Breach? (6)

Bringing challenge proceedings to set aside award in wrong jurisdiction

Breach

G. Aita v. A. Ojjeh (Paris Court of Appeal),18 February 1986

Breach or No Breach? (7)

Publication of court judgment rendered in challenge proceedings to set aside award

Breach

Department of Economics Policy & Development of the City of Moscow v. Bankers Trust Company (English High Court), 5 June 2003, [2003] EWHC 1377 (held that summary can be published)

Breach: Summary

- Publication of existence of dispute, of arbitration and amount of the claim (France)
- Disclosure of award to a third party in subsequent proceedings but note exception next slide (England)
- Disclosure of documents created in the arbitration to a third party in subsequent proceedings – but note exception next slide (England)
- Bringing challenge proceedings to set aside award in wrong jurisdiction (France)
- Publication of court judgment rendered in challenge proceedings to set aside award (England)

No breach: Summary

- Disclosure pursuant to a court order or parties' consent
- Disclosure of award to a third party where this is reasonably necessary for an arbitrating party to establish or defend a right vis-à-vis a third party (England)
- Disclosure of documents created in the arbitration to a third party where this is reasonably necessary for an arbitrating party to establish or defend a right vis-à-vis a third party (England)
- Disclosure of award for purposes related to enforcement (England)
- Disclosure of documents produced in arbitration to a third party where public interest requires this (US and Australia)
- **Legal obligation** of disclosure (France; England)
- Publication of full award by one of the parties (Sweden)

Which remedy?

Remedies in contract:

- Injunction
- Damages
- Award annulment?

Remedies in tort:

- May arise where breach by fact or expert witness or court reporter
- Remedy will depend on applicable law

Injunction – most common

- Insurance Co (England)
- Ali Shipping (England)
- Dolling-Baker (England)
- Associated Electric (Privy Council subsequently overturned)
- True North (France subsequently overturned)

Damages – less common

- Aita (France)
- True North (France subsequently overturned)
- Bulbank (Sweden mentioned as a possibility)
- Practical difficulties of proving and quantifying damage

Award annulment?

- Granted only once and subsequently overturned (Sweden)
- Only a fundamental breach of arbitration agreement (i.e. not just a breach of confidentiality) could negate arbitration agreement and award of their effect

Conclusions

- No uniform concept of the scope of confidentiality in arbitration
- Therefore no uniform concept of what constitutes a breach
- Important to determine the law applicable to the duty of confidentiality to determine its scope and what remedies may be available
- Breaches of confidentiality are established most frequently in French and English case law.
- Australia, Sweden and the US are reluctant to find a duty of confidentiality preventing disclosure
- Most likely remedy available in case of breach is injunction preventing disclosure.
- Damages are also available in principle but difficult to prove.



Thank You

For further information, please contact

nradjai@lalive.ch